Subject: Re: IDLSPEC

Posted by rivers on Sat, 09 May 1998 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <3549019D.ABC78B98@astrosun.tn.cornell.edu>, "J.D. Smith" <jdsmith@astrosun.tn.cornell.edu> writes:

- > Visit http://astrosun.tn.cornell.edu/staff/jdsmith/IDLSPEC.html for
- > recent IDLSPEC results, along with some graphs of most entrants'
- > performances, thanks to Terry Herter. These graphs really make the
- > relative performances of various machines guite clear.

I would like to add a caution about the results of the graphics times reported in this survey. While respondents reported what graphics hardware was in the system, they did not provide information on what MODE that hardware was running in, specifically how many bits (8, 16, 32, etc.) or what resolution the display was set to. I have just found that this makes a HUGE difference on my system. I have a Pentium II machine with a DIAMOND Stealth 3D 2000 Pro video card.

If I set my display for 1024x768 16777216 colors I get the following results for graphics_times2:

19.7790=Total Time, 3.3734063=Geometric mean, 4 tests.

However, if I set my display for 1024x768 256 colors I get the following results for graphics_times2:

3.04400=Total Time, 0.51242809=Geometric mean, 4 tests.

Thus, there is more than a factor of 6 difference between the times reported depending upon whether I use 8 bit mode or 24 bit mode. Without knowing what mode the machines were set to in the survey, the results are hard to compare.

Mark Rivers (773) 702-2279 (office)
CARS (773) 702-9951 (secretary)
Univ. of Chicago (773) 702-5454 (FAX)
5640 S. Ellis Ave. (708) 922-0499 (home)

Chicago, IL 60637 rivers@cars.uchicago.edu (e-mail)

or:

Argonne National Laboratory (630) 252-0422 (office)

Building 434A (630) 252-0405 (lab)

9700 South Cass Avenue (630) 252-1713 (beamline)

Argonne, IL 60439 (630) 252-0443 (FAX)

Subject: Re: IDLSPEC

Posted by J.D. Smith on Wed, 13 May 1998 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Mark Rivers wrote:

>

- > In article <3549019D.ABC78B98@astrosun.tn.cornell.edu>, "J.D. Smith" <jdsmith@astrosun.tn.cornell.edu> writes:
- >> Visit http://astrosun.tn.cornell.edu/staff/jdsmith/IDLSPEC.html for
- >> recent IDLSPEC results, along with some graphs of most entrants'
- >> performances, thanks to Terry Herter. These graphs really make the
- >> relative performances of various machines quite clear.

>

- > I would like to add a caution about the results of the graphics times
- > reported in this survey.

>

Quite right, indeed. I had thought I had made that point clear, that graphics times were dependent on where idl was running (e.g. over a network X connection), and what type of graphics were running. If I had thought about it, I would have included this as part of the questionnaire to begin with, but alas, I did not. General statements can be made about which machines were faster/slower on the graphics tests, given that 8-bit is always faster, and likely half of the people were running 8-bit.... but come to think of it, this might explain why the Creator 3-D graphics didn't outperform a PC. If the users of these machines were using another graphics type, and would like to resubmit, using 8-bit graphics, I'll be happy to update the survey.

JD

--

J.D. Smith |*| WORK: (607) 255-5842 Cornell University Dept. of Astronomy |*| (607) 255-4083 206 Space Sciences Bldg. |*| FAX: (607) 255-5875 Ithaca, NY 14853 |*|