## Subject: Re: about moderation and comp.lang.idl\* Posted by Joe[2] on Wed, 06 May 1998 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

- > Somebody whose message I've just lost proposed to moderate comp.lang.idl,
- > and somebody else reacted saying that one won't even reach the more than 100
- > yes votes necessary to do it, because comp.lang.idl is virtually dead and has
- > less than 100 readers (I do not know whether it's true) and proposed to close
- > it.

>

- > If you close it, could one rename the ever-confusing comp.lang.idl-pvwave to
- > comp.lang.idl? I believe the majority of (RSI) IDL users, which are more
- > than 100, would find that easy.

The problem is that IDL has a slightly more common translation to Interface Definition Language and comp.lang.idl is taken by those folks. We could always start a group under the alt... hierarchy, but I doubt there is neither the need nor motivation to moderate or close this group down.

JMZ

Subject: Re: about moderation and comp.lang.idl\* Posted by bowler on Wed, 06 May 1998 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <6iqbds\$bql\$1@canopus.cc.umanitoba.ca>, roberson@ibd.nrc.ca (Walter Roberson) writes:

- > In article <Pine.OSF.3.95.980506183704.6207A-100000@poseidon.ifctr.mi.cnr.it>,
- > Sebastiano Barbieri <nospam@ifctr.mi.cnr.it> wrote:

>

- > :If you close it, could one rename the ever-confusing comp.lang.idl-pvwave to
- > :comp.lang.idl? I believe the majority of (RSI) IDL users, which are more
- > :than 100, would find that easy.

>

- > That would leave out the Visual Numerics PVWAVE users unless a new
- > newsgroup such as comp.lang.pvwave were also created. It does not seem
- > to me that volume of PVWAVE messages distinct from IDL messages would
- > be high enough to warrant a split. As newsgroup votes are always held
- > independantly, this could easily lead to a situation where
- > comp.lang.idl-pvwave was renamed to comp.lang.idl but comp.lang.pvwave
- > was rejected, which would leave the PVWAVE users with no newsgroup.

On the one hand, if they don't have enough use to warrant their own news group, they shouldn't have their own news group. On the other hand, if the volume is so low, does it really get in the way of IDL users? On the third hand (I grew up near three mile island :-), if we rename it to comp.lang.idl and have the charter "allow" pvwave questions, it will make it more "logical" for those of us who use IDL but not pvwave without totally disenfranchizing the pvwave users.

On balance, as an IDL user who can barely spell pvwave, I'd vote to change the name, but let them stay.

Bruce

Subject: Re: about moderation and comp.lang.idl\*
Posted by roberson on Wed, 06 May 1998 07:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <Pine.OSF.3.95.980506183704.6207A-100000@poseidon.ifctr.mi.cnr.it>, Sebastiano Barbieri <nospam@ifctr.mi.cnr.it> wrote: :Somebody whose message I've just lost proposed to moderate comp.lang.idl, :and somebody else reacted saying that one won't even reach the more than 100 :yes votes necessary to do it, because comp.lang.idl is virtually dead and has :less than 100 readers (I do not know whether it's true) and proposed to close :it.

I was the respondant. The previous exchanges can be found via www.altavista.digital.com or www.dejanews.com .

:If you close it, could one rename the ever-confusing comp.lang.idl-pvwave to :comp.lang.idl? I believe the majority of (RSI) IDL users, which are more :than 100, would find that easy.

That would leave out the Visual Numerics PVWAVE users unless a new newsgroup such as comp.lang.pvwave were also created. It does not seem to me that volume of PVWAVE messages distinct from IDL messages would be high enough to warrant a split. As newsgroup votes are always held independently, this could easily lead to a situation where comp.lang.idl-pvwave was renamed to comp.lang.idl but comp.lang.pvwave was rejected, which would leave the PVWAVE users with no newsgroup.

Subject: Re: about moderation and comp.lang.idl\*
Posted by dlhopols on Thu, 07 May 1998 07:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## In article

- <Pine.OSF.3.95.980506183704.6207A-100000@poseidon.ifctr.mi.cnr.it>#1/1,
   Sebastiano Barbieri <nospam@ifctr.mi.cnr.it> wrote:
- > If you close it, could one rename the ever-confusing comp.lang.idl-pvwave to
- > comp.lang.idl? I believe the majority of (RSI) IDL users, which are more
- > than 100, would find that easy.

## Hi All.

I'm familiar with PVWAVE but am currently working with IDL (RSI Interactive Data Language) I read the comp.lang.idl-pvwave newsgroup regularly. The number of new messages per day is reasonable, less than 10 on average. (I use deja news to read messages) The address is a little awkward but once I got used to the dash between idl-pvwave, it was no problem. I do not see a need to change it now, nor do I feel it necessary to separate out the PV-Wave users. The comp.lang.idl newsgroup looks like it has had some mail from IDL/PVWAVE users, so there is some confusion. But I think with a little searching, the relevent newsgroup can be found. Rose

----= Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==----http://www.dejanews.com/ Now offering spam-free web-based newsreading