## Subject: Re: WRONG RESULTS WITH IDL Posted by Richard G. French on Tue, 05 May 1998 07:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

>> sin(12345678901.12345678901) = -03727 9004 9960 8007 >> >> where IDL get: >> >> IDL> x = double(12345678901.12345678901) \*\*\*\*\*\* a number with single precision to a double precision value, but it does not keep all of the digits listed. You need to make the number double precision explicitly by adding a D0 at the end: x=12345678901.12345678901D0

If you print x instead of sin(x), you will see that you have not retained all of the listed digits of precision

Subject: Re: WRONG RESULTS WITH IDL Posted by Thomas A. McGlynn on Tue, 05 May 1998 07:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Frank Loewenthal wrote:
> Hi Folks
For calculation of beam-propagation I use IDL. But now I realize that
> for large arguments, even with double-precision, IDL gives wrong
> results:
> For example:
>
  \sin(12345678901.12345678901) = -03727900499608007
>
>
 where IDL get:
>
 IDL> x = double(12345678901.12345678901)
  IDL> print, sin(x)
     0.098761418
>
  Can somebody confirm this result, and does anybody know the solution
  to overcome this problem?
>
> Best regards
> Frank
```

You're misunderstanding how to create a double precision literal in IDL. E.g.:

IDL> x=12345678901.12345678901 IDL> print,sin(x) 0.0987614 IDL> x=12345678901.12345678901d0 IDL> print,sin(x) -0.37327885

In the first case the literal value is interpreted in single precision and then assigned to x. To preserve the precision you need to indicate that it's a double precision value.

Regards, Tom McGlynn tam@silk.gsfc.nasa.gov

Subject: Re: WRONG RESULTS WITH IDL Posted by Andy Loughe on Tue, 05 May 1998 07:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Maybe this helps to explain the "sin()" difference...

print, 12345678901.12345678901D, format='(f30.15)' 12345678901.123456954956055

print, double(12345678901.12345678901), format='(f30.15)' 12345678848.000000000000000

Apparently when converting the real to double in the second case, there is a great loss in precision since the original real number culdn't properly store a number that large.

Caesar E. Ordonez wrote:

> I did the following:
> IDL> x = 12345678901.12345678901D
> IDL> print,sin(x)
> -0.37327885

--Andrew F. Loughe | afl@cdc.noaa.gov

University of Colorado, CIRES Box 449 | http://cdc.noaa.gov/~afl Boulder, CO 80309-0449 | phn:(303)492-0707 fax:(303)497-7013 "I do not feel obliged to believe that the same God who has endowed us with sense, reason, and intellect has intended us to forego their use." -Galileo Subject: Re: WRONG RESULTS WITH IDL Posted by Caesar E. Ordonez on Tue, 05 May 1998 07:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message I did the following: IDL> x = 12345678901.12345678901DIDL > print, sin(x)-0.37327885 Frank Loewenthal wrote: > Hi Folks > For calculation of beam-propagation I use IDL. But now I realize that > for large arguments, even with double-precision, IDL gives wrong > results: > For example:  $\sin(12345678901.12345678901) = -03727900499608007$ > > where IDL get: > > > IDL> x = double(12345678901.12345678901)> IDL> print, sin(x) 0.098761418 > > > Can somebody confirm this result, and does anybody know the solution to overcome this problem? >

Best regards

> Frank