## Subject: Re: problem with corrupted pix-maps Posted by mirko\_vukovic on Thu, 02 Jul 1998 07:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message In article <3598831A.9E8598EC@earthling.net>, pdavid@earthling.net wrote: > > David Fanning wrote: >> Mirko Vukovic (mirko vukovic@notes.mrc.sony.com) offers us >> a programming puzzle when he writes: >>> following instructions of some of our more esteemed colleagues on this >>> I created copies of two windows in memory. > [ snipped description of things going awry ] >>> Now, in such situations, the first thought that comes to mind is a bug in IDL. >>> The second (and usually more correct thought) is that I overlooked something. >> A simple switch of an x coordinate in place of a y coordinate >> has been known to produce effects such as this. Does the problem go >> away if your window is square? > > I have also had problems using pixmap windows. In my case, the problem > occurred when I was using IDL 4.0 on a Macintosh. I had a pixmap window that > was supposed to hold frames from a movie. I decided to create a single, very > large pixmap window, and handle creating offsets myself to extract just the > portion for the current frame. I thought this would work well, and it did on > both my Windows NT workstation and the UNIX workstation I use. When I tried > to test it on the Mac, things got quite weird. When I decided to use a > separate pixmap window for each frame, and copied the entire window each time, > the problem went away. > IDL 5.0 fixed the problem I was seeing, so I don't know what was going on. > However, the hypothesis that your problem \*MIGHT\* be a bug in IDL is truly feasible. > Phillip David > IDL Tool Developer

no, the hypothesis that \*I\* made a mistake was the correct one. I forgot the minor detail of properly setting the pixmap window \*size\*! Problem solved.

> XonTech, Inc.

> > But it may point to some kind of memory leakage in IDL.

## mirko

----= Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----