Subject: Arithmetic error from NR_MACHAR()

Posted by on Mon, 29 Jun 1998 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi all,

I tried to find out the machine precision on my LINUX PC with IDL 5.0.

The result is the following:

IDL> help, /struc, NR_MACHAR(/DOUBLE)

^{**} Structure DMACHAR, 13 tags, length=68:

IBETA	LONG	2
IT	LONG	64
IRND	LONG	2
NGRD	LONG	0
MACHEP	LONG	-63
NEGEP	LONG	-64
IEXP	LONG	2
MINEXP	LONG	-1075
MAXEXP	LONG	-1073
EPS	DOUBLE	1.0842022e-19
EPSNEG	DOUBLE	5.4210109e-20
XMIN	DOUBLE	0.0000000
XMAX	DOUBLE	Inf

[%] Program caused arithmetic error: Floating divide by 0

It seems, that MAXEXP has got the wrong value.

Also XMAX, which is according to the manual calculated as (1-EPSNEG)*IBETA^MAXEXP, is Infinity, what may be the origin of the error message.

But then I tried this:

IDL> prec = NR_MACHAR(/DOUBLE)

% Program caused arithmetic error: Floating divide by 0

IDL> print, (1-prec.EPSNEG)*prec.IBETA^prec.MAXEXP 0.0000000

There I dont get 'Infinity' as result, but '0.0'.

If, however, I try the same on a UNIX-system, first everything seems ok.

IDL> help, /struc, NR_MACHAR(/DOUBLE)

** Structure DMACHAR, 13 tags, length=72:

IBETA	LONG	2
IT	LONG	53
IRND	LONG	2
NGRD	LONG	0

MACHEP **LONG** -52 -53 NEGEP LONG **IEXP** LONG 11 LONG -1022 MINEXP **MAXEXP** LONG 1024 **EPS** DOUBLE 2.2204460e-16 **EPSNEG** DOUBLE 1.1102230e-16 XMIN DOUBLE 2.2250739e-308 XMAX **DOUBLE** 1.7976931e+308

But - if I calculate XMAX myself again - the result is 0.0: IDL> prec = NR_MACHAR(/DOUBLE) IDL> print, (1-prec.EPSNEG)*prec.IBETA^prec.MAXEXP 0.0000000

If I use MACHAR() instead of NR_MACHAR(), exactly the same happens. The keyword DOUBLE also is not the origin of the problem.

Maybe anybody else understands, what happens?

Thanks, Heiko

Heiko H�nnefeld

HASYLAB / DESY

Notkestr. 85

22603 Hamburg Tel.: 040 / 8998-2698 Fax.: 040 / 8998-2787

e-mail: hhuenne@desy.de

Subject: Re: Arithmetic error

Posted by Nigel Wade on Thu, 31 Jan 2002 10:11:39 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ed Wright wrote:

>

> To: IDL

> From: Ed Wright, JPL

>

- > I require assistance with an odd problem. My current projects involves
- > creating a dlm module of some 200+ routines from a library of 1500+
- > routines. Several of the IDL callable routines replicate intrinsic IDL

- > calls. While testing one such routine that calculates determinate of a 3X3
- > I encountered a problem. The numerical result between my determinant
- > function and determn matches to 10^(-16).

>

- > Now the odd thing. Under certain circumstances the use of my determinant
- > function as an argument in another routine call causes an error:

>

> % Program caused arithmetic error: Floating illegal operand.

>

That error means somewhere in your code you have generated a NaN (Not a Number). The operations which generate NaNs include 0/0, Inf*0, sqrt(<0) Inf-Inf,Inf/Inf (there may be others...).

Since you don't have a preceding 'Floating divide by zero', it's a fair bet your error doesn't involve Inf. So, all you have to do is look through your code to find where you might have a 0/0 or sqrt(<0). ;-)

--

Nigel Wade, System Administrator, Space Plasma Physics Group,

University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK

E-mail: nmw@ion.le.ac.uk

Phone: +44 (0)116 2523568, Fax: +44 (0)116 2523555

Subject: Re: Arithmetic error

Posted by Ed Wright on Thu, 31 Jan 2002 15:53:53 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

in article a3b58p\$kb2i\$1@rook.le.ac.uk, Nigel Wade at nmw@ion.le.ac.uk wrote on 1/31/02 2:11 AM:

- > Ed Wright wrote:
- > >>
- >> To: IDL
- >> From: Ed Wright, JPL

>>

- >> I require assistance with an odd problem. My current projects involves
- >> creating a dlm module of some 200+ routines from a library of 1500+
- >> routines. Several of the IDL callable routines replicate intrinsic IDL
- >> calls. While testing one such routine that calculates determinate of a 3X3
- >> I encountered a problem. The numerical result between my determinant
- >> function and determn matches to 10^(-16).

>>

>> Now the odd thing. Under certain circumstances the use of my determinant

- >> function as an argument in another routine call causes an error: >>
- >> % Program caused arithmetic error: Floating illegal operand.

>> >

- > That error means somewhere in your code you have generated a NaN (Not a
- > Number). The operations which generate NaNs include 0/0, Inf*0, sqrt(<0)
- > Inf-Inf,Inf/Inf (there may be others...).

By code, should I take it to mean the external C code?

>

- > Since you don't have a preceding 'Floating divide by zero', it's a fair bet
- > your error doesn't involve Inf. So, all you have to do is look through your
- > code to find where you might have a 0/0 or sqrt(<0). ;-)

Oh, is that all. No problem. 300k lines - let me at them.... Mr. Codebuster, that's me.

As always, Ed Wright

Subject: Re: Arithmetic error

Posted by Paul van Delst on Thu, 31 Jan 2002 18:41:55 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ed Wright wrote:

>

- > in article a3b58p\$kb2i\$1@rook.le.ac.uk, Nigel Wade at nmw@ion.le.ac.uk wrote
- > on 1/31/02 2:11 AM:

>

>> Ed Wright wrote:

>>

>>>

- >>> To: IDL
- >>> From: Ed Wright, JPL

>>>

- >>> I require assistance with an odd problem. My current projects involves
- >>> creating a dlm module of some 200+ routines from a library of 1500+
- >>> routines. Several of the IDL callable routines replicate intrinsic IDL
- >>> calls. While testing one such routine that calculates determinate of a 3X3
- >>> I encountered a problem. The numerical result between my determinant
- >>> function and determn matches to 10^(-16).

>>>

- >>> Now the odd thing. Under certain circumstances the use of my determinant
- >>> function as an argument in another routine call causes an error:

>>>

```
>>> % Program caused arithmetic error: Floating illegal operand.
>>>
>>
>> That error means somewhere in your code you have generated a NaN (Not a
>> Number). The operations which generate NaNs include 0/0, Inf*0, sqrt(<0)
>> Inf-Inf,Inf/Inf (there may be others...).
>
 By code, should I take it to mean the external C code?
>
>>
>> Since you don't have a preceding 'Floating divide by zero', it's a fair bet
>> your error doesn't involve Inf. So, all you have to do is look through your
>> code to find where you might have a 0/0 or sqrt(<0). ;-)
>
> Oh, is that all. No problem. 300k lines - let me at them.... Mr. Codebuster,
> that's me.
Try !EXCEPT=2 and let IDL do the searching for you. Be prepared for a lot of output.....
paulv
Paul van Delst
                      Religious and cultural
CIMSS @ NOAA/NCEP
                              purity is a fundamentalist
Ph: (301)763-8000 x7274
                           fantasy
Fax:(301)763-8545
                               V.S.Naipaul
```

Subject: Re: Arithmetic error

Posted by Nigel Wade on Fri, 01 Feb 2002 10:12:10 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ed Wright wrote:

```
> in article a3b58p$kb2i$1@rook.le.ac.uk, Nigel Wade at nmw@ion.le.ac.uk
> wrote on 1/31/02 2:11 AM:
> Ed Wright wrote:
>> To: IDL
>>> From: Ed Wright, JPL
>>>
>>> I require assistance with an odd problem. My current projects involves
>>> creating a dlm module of some 200+ routines from a library of 1500+
>>> routines. Several of the IDL callable routines replicate intrinsic IDL
>>> calls. While testing one such routine that calculates determinate of a
>>> 3X3 I encountered a problem. The numerical result between my determinant
```

```
>>> function and determn matches to 10^(-16).
>>>
>>> Now the odd thing. Under certain circumstances the use of my determinant
>>> function as an argument in another routine call causes an error:
>>>
>>> % Program caused arithmetic error: Floating illegal operand.
>>>
>>
>> That error means somewhere in your code you have generated a NaN (Not a
>> Number). The operations which generate NaNs include 0/0, Inf*0, sqrt(<0)
>> Inf-Inf,Inf/Inf (there may be others...).
> By code, should I take it to mean the external C code?
>
It could be either. Both IDL and C would generate the same floating point
exception. I can't offhand remember whether an exception in your C code
would generate this exception in IDL. But it might be that the C code
generates a Nan/Inf and then IDL generates the exception when it tries to
use it.
>>
>> Since you don't have a preceding 'Floating divide by zero', it's a fair
>> bet your error doesn't involve Inf. So, all you have to do is look
>> through your code to find where you might have a 0/0 or sqrt(<0). ;-)
>
> Oh, is that all. No problem. 300k lines - let me at them.... Mr.
> Codebuster, that's me.
Debugging external code is always fun.
I forsee extensive use of printf...
Nigel Wade, System Administrator, Space Plasma Physics Group,
       University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK
```

+44 (0)116 2523568, Fax: +44 (0)116 2523555

E-mail: nmw@ion.le.ac.uk

Phone: