
Subject: Re: Returning result from a widget program.
Posted by [David Foster](#) on Thu, 09 Jul 1998 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Imanol Echave wrote:

>
> Hi people:
>
> I'm writting an IDL function that works with widgets. The user calls an IDL
> function that shows an widget interface to input data. When the user pushes the
> "OK" button the function has to return a result that depends on the input data.
> My problem is where to store this result to return it. I can't use the UVALUE of
> the widgets because when I want to return the result the widgets are destroyed.
> Any advice?

Hi Imanol -

I think the best thing you can do is to first create a "state info" structure that contains contains useful information about your widget, including status and especially the "result" from your user input. Then create a pointer to this structure:

```
statePtr = ptr_new( { status: 0, status_msg: "", result: 0 } )
```

Then store this pointer in the UVALUE of the TLB (top-level-base) of your widget heirarchy, right before calling XMANAGER:

```
widget_control, base, set_uvalue=statePtr
```

```
XMANAGER, 'name', base
```

(Be SURE not to use /NO_BLOCK here! You want the function to wait until you're done.)

Then at the beginning of your event handler you get this pointer back from the UVALUE:

```
widget_control, event.top, get_uvalue=statePtr
```

and you can access all of your structure elements within the event handler like:

```
temp = (*statePtr).result
```

Then, at the end of your function, to return the result(s) of the function you add the next lines *after* the XMANAGER call:

```
return_value = (*statePtr).result
```

```
ptr_free, statePtr      ; Be SURE to free memory!  
return, return_value  
END
```

Of course, if you need to return more items, you can make a new structure from some of the fields of (*statePtr), and then return that instead. The point is that you can use this method to return whatever you like. The key is that I'm assuming that this will be a "modal" widget, which will wait until the user presses "Ok" before returning (keyword /NO_BLOCK is not used in XMANAGER call).

Hope this helps.

Dave

--

~~~~~  
David S. Foster Univ. of California, San Diego  
Programmer/Analyst Brain Image Analysis Laboratory  
foster@bials1.ucsd.edu Department of Psychiatry  
(619) 622-5892 8950 Via La Jolla Drive, Suite 2240  
La Jolla, CA 92037  
~~~~~

Subject: Re: Returning result from a widget program.
Posted by [davidf](#) on Fri, 10 Jul 1998 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Folks,

I've just returned from a short holiday where I have resolved, once again, to give up this strange IDL fetish I have and get on with the rest of my life. But, alas, my work remains unfinished...

Imanol Echave (ccaeccai@sc.ehu.es) writes:

- > I'm writting an IDL function that works with widgets. The user calls an IDL
- > function that shows an widget interface to input data. When the user pushes the
- > "OK" button the function has to return a result that depends on the input data.
- > My problem is where to store this result to return it. I can't use the UVALUE of
- > the widgets because when I want to return the result the widgets are destroyed.
- > Any advice?

And he receives advice like this. Sigh...

- > If you need the parameter var in an another program (or in an

> another subroutine) I suggest to put it in a common block.

Even Mirko Vukovic (who I sent my book to, for goodness sake!) recommends a common block.

Only David Foster offers a word of caution about common blocks in widget programs.

Let me put it this way. From time to time widget programs are very useful. So useful that you might even want to use several instances of that program at the same time. (A dialog widget function that collects information about which file to open comes to mind, or a program to load colors in a particular window, or a program that processes images in a particular way, etc, etc. In fact, just about every widget program I write meets the criteria.)

But if you use a common block in that widget program, you can only run ONE INSTANCE of that program at any particular time. If you run more than one instance, your programs will not work properly. Period. This makes common blocks in widget programs a lousy choice in my humble opinion.

So it is important to know how to write widget programs WITHOUT common blocks. In this case, Mr. Echave is absolutely correct. He cannot use the UVALUE to store information he collects from the user. Because by the time he collects it and destroys the modal widget, the user value (and everything stored there) is gone. He can't get it back to return it as the result of the function. He must store the information in a global location that is **external** to the widgets used to create the program. A pointer location is **exactly** what is called for, as David Foster indicates.

The last several lines of the data collection function might look like this:

```
dataPtr = Ptr_New({cancel:1})
```

(My data pointers usually point to a structure that contains the information I hope to collect from the user. This might be the name of a data file, the type of data stored there, the size of the data, etc. I like to have a field in that structure that tells me if the user hit the CANCEL button on my dialog. If so, the CANCEL field in the structure is set to 1. When I set up the data pointer I usually turn this CANCEL flag ON so that all I really have to worry about is if the user hit the OK or ACCEPT button. This keeps me from

coming to grief if the user just kills the widget with his or her mouse instead of using the thoughtfully provided buttons.)

```
info = {dataPtr:dataPtr, ..., ...}  
Widget_Control, tlb, Set_UValue=info, /No_Copy  
XManager, 'example', tlb ; Modal widget blocks here.
```

```
; User killed widget. Get data and return it.
```

```
data = *dataPtr  
Ptr_Free, dataPtr  
IF data.cancel THEN RETURN, -1 ELSE RETURN, data  
END
```

You can see how this works in more detail by looking at the programs GETIMAGE or GETDATA from my anonymous ftp site:

```
ftp://ftp.dfanning.com/pub/dfanning/outgoing/coyote/getimage .pro  
ftp://ftp.dfanning.com/pub/dfanning/outgoing/coyote/getdata. pro
```

If you have trouble with the programs (they are well documented), you can read the last two chapters in my book. They talk about how to build both modal and non-modal widget dialogs without using common blocks.

If I don't hear the words "common block" mentioned here for at least two weeks, I know it will be safe to retire. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting
E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com
Phone: 970-221-0438
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Subject: Re: Returning result from a widget program.
Posted by [David Foster](#) on Fri, 10 Jul 1998 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mirko_vukovic@notes.mrc.sony.com wrote:
>

> Imanol Echave <ccaeccai@sc.ehu.es> wrote:
>> Hi people:
>>
>> I'm writting an IDL function that works with widgets. The user calls an
> IDL
>> function that shows an widget interface to input data. When the user pushes
> the
>> "OK" button the function has to return a result that depends on the input
> data.
>> My problem is where to store this result to return it. Any advice?
>
> common block, pointer variable?
>
> You can setup a widget cleanup routine (and frankly, I forget their syntax
> now), where you can do that kind of stuff.
>
> mirko
>

This is definitely the easiest approach, but it's messy and you'll regret it later. There is almost always a way to avoid common blocks, like my recent reply to Imanol.

Dave

--

~~~~~  
David S. Foster      Univ. of California, San Diego  
Programmer/Analyst   Brain Image Analysis Laboratory  
foster@bial1.ucsd.edu   Department of Psychiatry  
(619) 622-5892      8950 Via La Jolla Drive, Suite 2240  
La Jolla, CA 92037  
~~~~~

Subject: Re: Returning result from a widget program.
Posted by [DAMIANO ZILIO](#) on Fri, 10 Jul 1998 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Imanol Echave wrote:

> Hi people:
>
> I'm writting an IDL function that works with widgets. The user calls an IDL
> function that shows an widget interface to input data. When the user pushes the
> "OK" button the function has to return a result that depends on the input data.
> My problem is where to store this result to return it. I can't use the UVALUE of

> the widgets because when I want to return the result the widgets are destroyed.
> Any advice?

Why don't you try with `get_value=var`?

If you need the parameter `var` in another program (or in another subroutine) I suggest to put it in a common block.

I hope that helps

--

Damiano Zilio

Subject: Re: Returning result from a widget program.
Posted by [mirko_vukovic](#) on Fri, 10 Jul 1998 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <35A4BF4B.5FE452DE@sc.ehu.es>,
Imanol Echave <ccaeccai@sc.ehu.es> wrote:

> Hi people:
>
> I'm writing an IDL function that works with widgets. The user calls an
IDL
> function that shows a widget interface to input data. When the user pushes
the
> "OK" button the function has to return a result that depends on the input
data.
> My problem is where to store this result to return it. I can't use the `UVALUE`
of
> the widgets because when I want to return the result the widgets are
destroyed.
> Any advice?
>

(I guess it is my turn to show what I've learned about widget programming)

common block, pointer variable?

You can setup a widget cleanup routine (and frankly, I forget their syntax now), where you can do that kind of stuff.

mirko

you

-----== Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==-----

