Subject: Re: Porting IDL

Posted by davidf on Wed, 12 Aug 1998 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

# Bernard Puc (bpuc@va.aetc.com) writes:

- > Thanks for the replies concerning software version control. Here's
- > another query for the collective experience of the group: We are
- > considering porting our IDL application to a PC platform (written
- > for SGI workstation). Does anybody know if there would be
- > significant advantages in time and effort in coding if we ported to
- > a Linux platform in contrast to Win95?

Uh, depends entirely on how well you WROTE those applications in the first place. My IDL programs run on SGIs and PCs without modification. :-)

Cheers.

David

P.S. Well, this WOULD be true if those damn SGIs used font sizes correctly. :-)

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Phone: 970-221-0438, Toll Free Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155 Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Subject: Re: Porting IDL

Posted by Liam Gumley on Wed, 12 Aug 1998 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

#### Bernard Puc wrote:

- > Thanks for the replies concerning software version control. Here's
- > another query for the collective experience of the group: We are
- > considering porting our IDL application to a PC platform (written
- > for SGI workstation). Does anybody know if there would be
- > significant advantages in time and effort in coding if we ported to
- > a Linux platform in contrast to Win95?

Here's a few thoughts.

Byte-swapping

-----

If your application reads any kind of 'binary' data that contains integer or floating point values, you need to be aware of byte-swapping (unless the data is in a portable format like ASCII/XDR/HDF/netCDF/CDF). I typically design the read routine to handle byte-swapping transparently, so that I don't have to worry about whether I'm on an SGI or a PC. My usual procedure for reading a binary file is

- (1) Open the file
- (2) Read a single 'record' into an IDL structure
- (3) Decode part of the structure (say date and time) to see if the values are sensible
- (4) If the values are sensible, then proceed with read as normal
- (5) If the values are not sensible, byte-swap the entire structure using SWAP\_ENDIAN
- (6) Decode the same values as in (3) to see if the values are sensible
- (7) If the values are sensible, set byte-swap flag ON. If values are not sensible, tell the user the file format was not recognized and quit.
- (8) Read subsequent records, and byte-swap if the byte-swap flag is set

This is usually only necessary where you had no direct control over the way the file was created to begin with. If you are responsible for creating the file, then using one of the portable formats mentioned previously will make you life \*much\* easier.

(There is no difference between Windows and Linux regarding byte-swapping).

## Widgets

If your application uses widgets, then as long as you were careful to allow IDL to lay out the widgets (i.e. you used XSIZE/XOFFSET/XPAD etc. keywords sparingly), you should be ok. The bottom line is that you have to suck it and see (try your application on the PC and see what it looks like). If you use the standard Motif window manager under Linux (\*not\* FVWM et al.), the chances are good that the widgets will look pretty much like they do on the SGI. If you use a standard font for widgets, e.g.

widget control, default font = '7x13'

then your chances are even better. Try Linux and Windows, and see which one looks better to you.

Direct graphics vector fonts

If you are creating direct graphics with vector fonts, then pick a standard size and stick to it, e.g.

```
device, set_character_size = [6, 9]
```

Otherwise the graphics you have carefully crafted on the SGI will look a lot different in Linux or Windows, or any other Unix platform for that matter.

### Colors

Your safest bet (unless you're of David Fanning caliber!) is to stick with 8 bit mode. If you can figure out how to handle the various flavors of 8/16/24/32 bit graphics available on PCs transparently, then my hat is off to you. Put something like the following in your IDL startup file:

```
if !version.os family eq 'unix' then device, pseudo = 8
device, retain = 2, decomposed = 0
window, /free, /pixmap, colors = -5
widget control, default font = '7x13'
device, set_character_size = [6, 9]
```

and make sure you use the same startup file on all platforms. These commands must be executed right at startup, because once you create the first graphics window, the number of colors is set for the rest of that IDL session.

### Save files

\_\_\_\_\_

If you want to keep the SGI as your development platform, then you don't need to send all the application source code to the PC. Just do the following:

- (1) Start a new IDL session on the SGI
- (2) Do a .COMPILE on all the application routines (let's say the main program is myapp.pro)
- (3) Save the compiled routines using SAVE,/ROUTINES,/XDR,FILE='myapp.sav'
- (4) Send the binary file myapp.sav to the PC
- (5) Start IDL on the PC (using the same startup file as the SGI)
- (6) Type

RESTORE, 'myapp.sav'

(7) Type myapp Subject: Re: Porting IDL

Posted by davidf on Thu, 13 Aug 1998 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Rose (rmlongfield@my-dejanews.com) writes:

- > Hi All (and David Fanning in particular), Sorry Dave, I just happened
- > to have a problem with your PROCESS.PRO procedure. :o I used it quickly (on
- > my SGI) to produce a \*.gif of an image. When I did a view of the \*.gif file
- > (using xv), however, I got a black box where the drop down menu is located.
- > I've never made a gif file with IDL yet but this is really strange. Does
- > anyone else have this experience or is it just another SGI problem?...sigh.

## Sigh...

I've found over the years that the best way to find bugs in your programs is to make a public announcement that they work. :-(

I can believe that this behavior is happening because the PROCESS program takes a screen dump of the graphics window (TVRD) to generate the GIF file. On some workstations this can be problematic. David Foster has some code called Safe\_TVRD (or something like it) that always does a TVRD from a pixmap, which is more reliable. I usually like to do a TVRD from the Z-buffer if I can, because then I can have access to all 256 colors if I need them.

Unfortunately, adding a lot of convoluted code to example programs tends to confuse issues rather than clarify them, so sometimes I opt for the simple solution that works most of the time instead of the complicated solution that works all of the time. This makes the programs easier to follow and still leaves me some material to put in my book. :-)

The PROCESS program could be improved by adding a pixmap window and doing the TVRD from that. Then it really WOULD work the same on PCs and SGI workstations. As Liam Gumley mentioned yesterday, however, despite my flippant remarks about "well-written" programs, you have to test, test, test.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Phone: 970-221-0438, Toll Free Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155 Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Subject: Re: Porting IDL

Posted by rmlongfield on Thu, 13 Aug 1998 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <MPG.103b6a1f9fc5c113989833@news.frii.com>, davidf@dfanning.com (David Fanning) wrote:

- > Bernard Puc (bpuc@va.aetc.com) writes:
- > Uh, depends entirely on how well you WROTE those applications
- > in the first place. My IDL programs run on SGIs and PCs without
- > modification. :-)

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

Hi All (and David Fanning in particular), Sorry Dave, I just happened to have a problem with your PROCESS.PRO procedure. :o I used it quickly (on my SGI) to produce a \*.gif of an image. When I did a view of the \*.gif file (using xv), however, I got a black box where the drop down menu is located. I've never made a gif file with IDL yet but this is really strange. Does anyone else have this experience or is it just another SGI problem?...sigh.

Rose Dlhop...

----= Posted via Deja News, The Leader in Internet Discussion ==----http://www.dejanews.com/rg\_mkgrp.xp Create Your Own Free Member Forum