
Subject: Re: call_external for file I/O

Posted by [Charlie Solomon](#) on Thu, 22 Oct 1998 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mark Rivers wrote in message ...

> I am not sure what you mean. Is the data actually in a disk file or is it
in
> memory? If it is in a disk file then some process needs to do the disk
I/O.
> If it is in memory then call_external can be used to get it into IDL.

The data is in a disk file initially, the map_file routine puts the relevant
part of the file into memory and sets those pages for sequential access, so
that when IDL accesses the data in memory it is not based on an algorithm
like most recently used. This is optimal for image data in which you may
need to access different subsets of the array at different times.

> Note that IDL's disk I/O on NT is quite fast. >5MB/sec is not hard to
achieve
> using writeu and readu.

I agree that it is fast, but the method we use on our Solaris machine seems
much faster for the same files. I don't have time data available right now,
but I could get it if needed.

Thanks for your reply,
Charlie Solomon
Raytheon Systems Co.

Subject: Re: call_external for file I/O

Posted by [rivers](#) on Thu, 22 Oct 1998 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <70ldu6\$160@hacgate2.hac.com>, "Charlie Solomon" <crsolomon@west.raytheon.com>
writes:

> Hello experts,
>
> Is anyone out there using call_external to read image files into a variable
> on the Windows NT platform? I have used a c routine on the Solaris platform
> called map_file, which bypasses the standard I/O layer and maps data
> directly into/from memory, making data access very fast compared to using
> IDL's built in file I/O utils. I did not write map_file, but my
> understanding is that it utilizes features in unix which allow this sort of
> memory mapping without going through the stdio layer. I would love to get
> the same speed on my NT machine. Any ideas?

I am not sure what you mean. Is the data actually in a disk file or is it in memory? If it is in a disk file then some process needs to do the disk I/O. If it is in memory then `call_external` can be used to get it into IDL.

Note that IDL's disk I/O on NT is quite fast. >5MB/sec is not hard to achieve using `writu` and `readu`.

Mark Rivers	(773) 702-2279 (office)
CARS	(773) 702-9951 (secretary)
Univ. of Chicago	(773) 702-5454 (FAX)
5640 S. Ellis Ave.	(708) 922-0499 (home)
Chicago, IL 60637	rivers@cars.uchicago.edu (e-mail)

or:

Argonne National Laboratory	(630) 252-0422 (office)
Building 434A	(630) 252-0405 (lab)
9700 South Cass Avenue	(630) 252-1713 (beamline)
Argonne, IL 60439	(630) 252-0443 (FAX)

Subject: Re: `call_external` for file I/O
Posted by [Charlie Solomon](#) on Mon, 26 Oct 1998 08:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Foster wrote ...

> I'm wondering if what you are coming up against is a storage order
> problem, in which the elements of the array are being accessed in
> an order that is not optimal given the order of storage of the
> elements in memory. Ideally the dimension that varies fastest in
> memory should be incremented first.
>
> We've run up against this problem before, and have written a C
> routine to optimize access to a 3D array in memory. If you're
> interested in checking it out let me know.

Thank you, yes, I would like to take a look at that.

> You also might want to look into Eric Korpela's VARRAY utility
> that allows you to have memory mapped files:
>
> <http://sag-www.ssl.berkeley.edu/~korpela/mmap/>
> korpela@islay.ssl.berkeley.edu

VARRAY looks like a good implementation of what our `map_file` does,

and this site is a very good reference, thank you. I want to work on doing something like this with WindowsNT. Eric's page says that it should be straightforward to convert VARRAY to use Win32's...we'll see!

Thanks, Charlie Solomon
Raytheon Systems Co., El Segundo, CA

Subject: Re: call_external for file I/O
Posted by [David Foster](#) on Mon, 26 Oct 1998 08:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Charlie Solomon wrote:

> The data is in a disk file initially, the map_file routine puts the relevant
> part of the file into memory and sets those pages for sequential access, so
> that when IDL accesses the data in memory it is not based on an algorithm
> like most recently used. This is optimal for image data in which you may
> need to access different subsets of the array at different times.
>
> I agree that it is fast, but the method we use on our Solaris machine seems
> much faster for the same files. I don't have time data available right now,
> but I could get it if needed.

Charlie -

I'm wondering if what you are coming up against is a storage order problem, in which the elements of the array are being accessed in an order that is not optimal given the order of storage of the elements in memory. Ideally the dimension that varies fastest in memory should be incremented first.

We've run up against this problem before, and have written a C routine to optimize access to a 3D array in memory. If you're interested in checking it out let me know.

You also might want to look into Eric Korpela's VARRAY utility that allows you to have memory mapped files:

<http://sag-www.ssl.berkeley.edu/~korpela/mmap/>
korpela@islay.ssl.berkeley.edu

Dave
--

~~~~~  
David S. Foster      Univ. of California, San Diego  
Programmer/Analyst   Brain Image Analysis Laboratory

foster@bials1.ucsd.edu Department of Psychiatry  
(619) 622-5892 8950 Via La Jolla Drive, Suite 2240  
La Jolla, CA 92037

~~~~~