Subject: IDL 5.2 reviews?

Posted by fireman on Thu, 29 Oct 1998 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Now that IDL 5.2 has been offically announced, I'm being asked if we should upgrade. I have what RSI sent, and the press release - where can I get more information?

Okay, you beta testers, spill.

You know who you are:
David Fanning
Robert S. Mallozzi
Matt Sheats
(who else?)

## Thanks!

--

- ---- Gwyn F. Fireman
- ---- General Sciences Corporation
- ---- MODIS Characterization Support Team
- ---- Gwyn.Fireman@gsfc.nasa.gov 301-352-2118

Subject: Re: IDL 5.2 reviews?

Posted by davidf on Tue, 03 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

# Allan Beihl (beihl@ti.com) writes:

- > Along these lines, does any one know if there are any Foundation Classes for
- > IDL, like MFC for windows or JFC/Swing for Java?

>

- > It would be nice to have some classes that encapsulated applications, windows,
- > ect. Then all one would have to do is derive the app and windows and override
- > any events they would want to catch, instead or writing and rewriting the same
- > UI code....

Ah, well, it's not a Foundation Class, but this is the whole purpose of my program XWINDOW.

http://www.dfanning.com/programs/xwindow.pro

I \*always\* want resizeable graphics windows that can send the contents of the window to a PostScript, JPEG, GIF file etc. Here is a program that can do that for \*any\* IDL graphics procedure (including any you care to invent) if they follow four simple rules:

- Are not defined with more than three positional parameters. (An unlimited number of keywords are allowed.)
- (2) Are defined with an \_EXTRA keyword.
- (3) Do not open their own windows.
- (4) Do not use a "DEVICE, DECOMPOSED=0" command. (This is a new limitation and was exposed by the way colors now work on the PC. :-)

For example, you could use it with the SHADE\_SURF command like this:

IDL> XWindow, 'Shade\_Surf', dist(40), /XColors, /Output, \$ Shades=BytScl(dist(40), Top=!D.N\_Colors-1), AZ=45

Note, this program needs three other programs from my library to work properly:

http://www.dfanning.com/programs/xcolors.pro http://www.dfanning.com/programs/pswindow.pro http://www.dfanning.com/programs/ps\_form.pro

Taken as a whole, these programs are a "foundation class" for constructing a whole variety of applications. (I have, perhaps, just given away the whole store with respect to a very lucrative consulting business. Oh, well, now that I know how to print object graphics programs I can get into that line of work. :-)

Cheers.

David

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Phone: 970-221-0438, Toll-Free Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155 Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Subject: Re: IDL 5.2 reviews?

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks.

Along these lines, does any one know if there are any Foundation Classes for IDL, like MFC for windows or JFC/Swing for Java?

It would be nice to have some classes that encapsulated applications, windows, ect. Then all one would have to do is derive the app and windows and override any events they would want to catch, instead or writing and rewriting the same UI code....

Allan Beihl Systems Analyst Raytheon Systems Company The Great One wrote: > David Foster (foster@bial1.ucsd.edu) writes: > >> Does anyone know when (and indeed, if) RSI plans on implementing >> the GUI-builder on Unix systems? I'm a bit pissed that we have to >> pay higher prices for licenses, and then are "overlooked" like >> this. That's not what I call support. > I haven't heard of any plans for a GUI-builder on anything > but Windows platforms, but RSI doesn't usually share this > kind of information with me as a rule. You should ask them. > > If you would be interested in trying an Application-> builder (that is, a builder that not only allowed you to > build the GUI interface, but also allowed you to write > IDL code either in the builder or in IDL, etc.) on > a UNIX platform, let me know. I may be able to help you > out. What I have in mind wouldn't be free, unfortunately, > but it \*would\* run on Windows and UNIX machines. :-) > > Cheers. > David > David Fanning, Ph.D. > Fanning Software Consulting > E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Phone: 970-221-0438, Toll-Free Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Subject: Re: IDL 5.2 reviews?

Posted by davidf on Tue, 03 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Foster (foster@bial1.ucsd.edu) writes:

- > Does anyone know when (and indeed, if) RSI plans on implementing
- > the GUI-builder on Unix systems? I'm a bit pissed that we have to
- > pay higher prices for licenses, and then are "overlooked" like
- > this. That's not what I call support.

I haven't heard of any plans for a GUI-builder on anything but Windows platforms, but RSI doesn't usually share this kind of information with me as a rule. You should ask them.

If you would be interested in trying an Application-builder (that is, a builder that not only allowed you to build the GUI interface, but also allowed you to write IDL code either in the builder or in IDL, etc.) on a UNIX platform, let me know. I may be able to help you out. What I have in mind wouldn't be free, unfortunately, but it \*would\* run on Windows and UNIX machines. :-)

$\sim$	h	· ^	ro	
C	H	ナヒ	rs	,

David

.....

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Phone: 970-221-0438, Toll-Free Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155 Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Subject: Re: IDL 5.2 reviews?

Posted by David Foster on Tue, 03 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Fanning wrote:

>

> Gwyn Fireman (fireman@magictrick.gsfc.nasa.gov) writes:

- >> Now that IDL 5.2 has been offically announced, I'm being asked if we
- >> should upgrade. I have what RSI sent, and the press release where
- >> can I get more information?

>

- > If I get the OK I might chat about the new GUI-builder.
- > There are lots of things I like about it. And a couple
- > of things I wish were there but aren't (yet).

>

Does anyone know when (and indeed, if) RSI plans on implementing the GUI-builder on Unix systems? I'm a bit pissed that we have to pay higher prices for licenses, and then are "overlooked" like this. That's not what I call support.

Dave

--

David S. Foster Univ. of California, San Diego Programmer/Analyst Brain Image Analysis Laboratory foster@bial1.ucsd.edu Department of Psychiatry (619) 622-5892 8950 Via La Jolla Drive, Suite 2240 La Jolla, CA 92037

Subject: Re: IDL 5.2 reviews?

Posted by Randall Smith on Wed, 04 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

davidf@dfanning.com (David Fanning) writes:

> Gwyn Fireman (fireman@magictrick.gsfc.nasa.gov) writes:

>> Now that IDL 5.2 has been offically announced, I'm being asked if we

- >> should upgrade. I have what RSI sent, and the press release where
- >> can I get more information?

>

- > I will say this about IDL 5.2 (whether I am suppose to
- > or not): if you work for NASA and you don't get this
- > upgrade you must be working in the backwaters and are
- > about to lose your funding. If this upgrade is not named
- > the "NASA upgrade" internally, then it ought to be. I can't
- > imagine a group of people better suited to using its new
- > functionality. Consider just file formats for a moment: TIFF++,
- > GeoTIFF, PNG, HDF+, HDF-EOS. That list, along with unsigned
- > integers, has to have NASA types drooling. :-)

>

Well, speaking as an ex-NASA postdoc, yes, having those file formats is terrific but RSI had better watch the cost, especially for the

small-time Unix users. I had some serious problems convincing my boss to go for the upgrade to v5, and many new Unix workstations are now IDL-free, at least where I was. When the cost of a new IDL license is 50% of the workstation cost, leaving it off starts sounding better and better.

This doesn't really affect places where there is a "systems group" that handles computer purchases and that ensures that all is well. But at least in the research groups, more and more computers are bought simply on research grants that have finite budgets. And these research groups eventually propose the multi-million dollar projects that buy IDL as a matter of course. Unless, of course, the underlying research group couldn't afford IDL before, and so nobody bothers to buy it now. It's a slow-brewing problem, though--one that won't show it's head until it's too late to do anything.

Randall Smith rsmith@cfa.harvard.edu

Subject: Re: IDL 5.2 reviews?

Posted by rmlongfield on Thu, 05 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <owg1bz33so.fsf@kracko.harvard.edu>,

Randall Smith <rsmith@kracko.harvard.edu> wrote:

Well, speaking as an ex-NASA postdoc, yes, having those file formats

- > is terrific but RSI had better watch the cost, especially for the
- > small-time Unix users. I had some serious problems convincing my boss
- > to go for the upgrade to v5, and many new Unix workstations are now
- > IDL-free, at least where I was. When the cost of a new IDL license is
- > 50% of the workstation cost, leaving it off starts sounding better and
- > better.

>

- > This doesn't really affect places where there is a "systems group"
- > that handles computer purchases and that ensures that all is well.
- > But at least in the research groups, more and more computers are
- > bought simply on research grants that have finite budgets. And these
- > research groups eventually propose the multi-million dollar projects
- > that buy IDL as a matter of course. Unless, of course, the underlying
- > research group couldn't afford IDL before, and so nobody bothers to
- > buy it now. It's a slow-brewing problem, though--one that won't show
- > it's head until it's too late to do anything.

>

- > Randall Smith
- > rsmith@cfa.harvard.edu

>

Just some thoughts on the cost of IDL. I am part of a small research group which is part of the Netherlands weather forecasting service. We just had a meeting with the CREASO people (who represent RSI in Europe) to try and get some sort of deal because we needed more licenses and the cost is very high. Well, they felt that the market justifies the cost and that other companies were paying the high cost, so we should too. We could not convince them that a non-profit organization has less money to throw around than a car company. My feeling from this meeting was that we weren't buying enough licenses for them to feel threatened if we decide to abandon IDL. It would be nice if they had something in between a university license (which was relatively cheap) and a company license. I don't know. I like IDL mostly because this is what I had to use when I got here (My other choice was SGI's Explorer) and widgets are fun. Mathlib was mentioned as a competitor but I don't know much about it except for a few comments on this usergroup. Well, enough said...Back to my widgets!

## Rose

p.s. Please, David Fanning, don't mention age as a handicap. Some of us are older than you might think.;-) My feeling, one is old the moment he or she decides that learning something new is too much trouble.

-----
Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

Subject: Re: IDL 5.2 reviews?

Posted by davidf7203 on Fri, 06 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

#### Mike Schienle writes:

- > If it's any consolation David, I can honestly say you don't
- > look a day over 60.

Yeah. Especially with my glasses. I got the "Bill Clinton" model, thinking... Well, let's just say I still don't feel any younger.

But, honestly people, can we get back to business here. (I can always tell when I'm working on a project that isn't going very well. I spend all my time doing this nonsense.)

Hey! How about that GUI Builder!? Anyone using it? :-)

Cheers,

David

----== Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own

Subject: Re: IDL 5.2 reviews?

Posted by mgs on Fri, 06 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <71tv0t\$765\$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>, davidf7203@my-dejanews.com wrote:

> Rose writes:

>

- >> p.s. Please, David Fanning, don't mention age as a handicap. Some of us are
- >> older than you might think. ;-) My feeling, one is old the moment he or she
- >> decides that learning something new is too much trouble.

>

> Yes, age is not a handicap. \*Feeling\* old may be, however. :-)

If it's any consolation David, I can honestly say you don't look a day over 60.

--

Mike Schienle mgs@ivsoftware.com

Interactive Visuals, LLC http://www.ivsoftware.com/

Subject: Re: IDL 5.2 reviews?

Posted by davidf7203 on Fri, 06 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Philip Aldis <teal@dera.gov.uk> writes:

- > The only reason I'm able to answer the questions
- > is because I was taught by the best.

You're a good man, Philip. Are you looking for a job? Dick and I have been thinking about expanding our international operations. :-)

Cheers.

David

Posted via Deja Ne	ews, The Discu	ssion Net	work ==-	
http://www.dejanews.com/	Search, Read,	Discuss,	or Start '	Your Own

Subject: Re: IDL 5.2 reviews?

Posted by philip aldis on Fri, 06 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## davidf7203@my-dejanews.com wrote:

- > I'm just feeling out of sorts because I've had to get a
- > pair of spectacles and everytime I want to read something
- > I've left the damn things in another room and I spend half
- > an hour looking around for them. And then I see this young
- > lad, Phillip, who has been programming IDL for all of about two
- > weeks answering quesitons that would take me almost two
- > weeks to research, what with the fumbling around for my
- > glasses and all, and I just think... Well, it doesn't matter
- > what I think. I'll just go get my slippers and drink a warm glass
- > of milk before I go to bed. :-(

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

>

The only reason I'm able to answer the questions is because I was taught by the best.

cheers for a good start to my IDL experience, young lad Phil Aldis

Subject: Re: IDL 5.2 reviews?

Posted by davidf7203 on Fri, 06 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

#### Rose writes:

- > p.s. Please, David Fanning, don't mention age as a handicap. Some of us are
- > older than you might think. ;-) My feeling, one is old the moment he or she
- > decides that learning something new is too much trouble.

Yes, age is not a handicap. \*Feeling\* old may be, however. :-)

I'm just feeling out of sorts because I've had to get a pair of spectacles and everytime I want to read something

I've left the damn things in another room and I spend half an hour looking around for them. And then I see this young lad, Phillip, who has been programming IDL for all of about two weeks answering quesitons that would take me almost two weeks to research, what with the fumbling around for my glasses and all, and I just think... Well, it doesn't matter what I think. I'll just go get my slippers and drink a warm glass of milk before I go to bed. :-(

Cheers,

David

-----
Posted via Deja News, The Discussion Network ==----
http://www.dejanews.com/ Search, Read, Discuss, or Start Your Own