Subject: TV/postscript problem
Posted by R.Balthazor on Thu, 05 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'd be grateful if anyone could point me in the right direction with this problem.

I'm running a program with the general form shown below. It cycles over 20 timesets of two datasets, each time reading them both in and displaying one as a false-color image on a map projection and then displaying the second as a contour image overlaid on it. Each time it flashes up the completed image satisfactorily on the X-windows, and the postscript file builds up until it is 20 pages long.

However, each page of the postscript file is a horrible two-tone mess of black and white, looking like it is an 'overexposed' photograph and nothing like what was on the screen; and moreover, the TV,imageset is larger than and displaced from the desired position.

What have I done wrong? I've tried;

- doing a single dataset read in case it was to do with multiple pages written to the postscript file but still the horrible mess.
- using CONTOUR,dataset1,/FILL as an approximation to TV,imageset1; this works perfectly (but I want more than 26 levels)
- using WRITE\_GIF; this works perfectly.

I'd be very grateful for any suggestions; I presume the problem is in the postscript writing.

time=-1 REPEAT BEGIN time=time+1 ;(Read in dataset1, dataset2, and some processing) MAP\_SET,(arguments) imageset1=MAP\_IMAGE(dataset1,startx,starty,other arguments) TV,imageset1,startx,starty CONTOUR, dataset2, /OVERPLOT **ENDREP UNTIL time EQ 19 END** 

;=========

Subject: Re: TV/postscript problem

Posted by R.Bauer on Tue, 24 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## philip aldis wrote:

> R Balthazor wrote: >> However, each page of the postscript file is a horrible two-tone mess of >> black and white, looking like it is an 'overexposed' photograph and >> nothing like what was on the screen; and moreover, the TV,imageset is >> larger than and displaced from the desired position. >> - doing a single dataset read in case it was to do with multiple pages >> written to the postscript file - but still the horrible mess. >> - using CONTOUR, dataset1,/FILL as an approximation to TV, imageset1; this works perfectly (but I want more than 26 levels) >> - using WRITE\_GIF; this works perfectly. >> >> > >> > I'm not entirely sure if this is the problem and it may well be something a lot more > complicated than I can cope with - but I noticed that on your device, ..... you did not set > bits per pixel=8, failure to do this means that the postscript file can only print with 16 > colours, which may have caused the problem.

> A second point I noticed is to do with the colours available. When you are working on the

> screen, you probably don't have all 256 colours available due to the window manager nicking

	some, however in postscript there are always 256 colours available. There are two options to correct this:
If you like to have on a unix 256 colors you should try in your startup file	
window,0,xsize=10,ysize=10,colors=256 wdelete,0	
I learned this from someone else from this news group	
Unfortunately It don't work on a Windows PC.	
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >	* If you want to scale the screen image to the number of colours available on the screen and then scale the postscript output to the number of colours available there then use TVSCL, instead of TV. This simply scales the image to the number of colours available.  * Or if you want both images to be scaled to the same value - the number of colours available on the screen-, then after the set_plot, 'x' put scale_factor = !d.n_colors . !d.n_colors, as you may have guessed, is simply the number of available colours. Then when you tv the image, don't tv just the image but instead
<i>&gt;</i> > >	TV, bytscl(image, top=scale_factor)
	I hope this solves the problem, although there seems to be something more fundamental because I haven't been able to explain the positioning problems or the black and white only. I hope someone else can give you a slightly more informed answer than mine.
> >	cheers, Phil Aldis