Subject: Re: Cylindrical map projection screwed up?
Posted by Jonathan Joseph on Fri, 13 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

ISOTROPIC keyword was set, though even if it were not, I would still expect lines of longitude to be vertical and lines of latitude to be horizontal, the only difference without ISOTROPIC set should be that the number of pixels in X degrees of longitude could then be different than the number of pixels in X degrees of latitude.

David Fanning wrote:

>

> Are you missing the ISOTROPIC keyword?

>

Subject: Re: Cylindrical map projection screwed up? Posted by Jonathan Joseph on Fri, 13 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hmmm.

It looks like this could be it. I had switched from a different type of map projection and didn't look closely at those numbers. I had been setting them to be the middle of the range of latitude/longitude that I was looking at. However, I'm not sure I understand what meaning they could have in a cylindrical projection.

Thanks.

-Jonathan

Liam Gumley wrote:

>

- > Jonathan Joseph wrote:
- >> I've been doing some stuff using cylindrical map
- >> projections using set_map. So, darn it, I expect
- >> my lines of longitude to be vertical, and my lines
- >> of latitude to be horizontal in the image.

>>

- >> But, when I set the LIMIT keyword to just look
- >> at a small portion of the globe, this is not
- >> the case. In fact, I only get a true cylidrical
- >> projection if the min and max latitude have the
- >> same magnitude, ie. -30 to 30 degrees.

```
> Try this:
> map_set, 30, -80, limit=[20,-100,40,-60], $
> /cyl, /isot, /cont, /grid ; Bad result
> map_set, 0, -80, limit=[20,-100,40,-60], $
> /cyl, /isot, /cont, /grid ; Good result
> Cheers,
> Liam.
> ---
> Liam E. Gumley
> Space Science and Engineering Center, UW-Madison
> 1225 W. Dayton St., Madison WI 53706, USA
> Phone (608) 265-5358, Fax (608) 262-5974
> http://cimss.ssec.wisc.edu/~gumley
```

Subject: Re: Cylindrical map projection screwed up? Posted by Liam Gumley on Fri, 13 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Jonathan Joseph wrote:

- > I've been doing some stuff using cylindrical map
- > projections using set_map. So, darn it, I expect
- > my lines of longitude to be vertical, and my lines
- > of latitude to be horizontal in the image.

>

- > But, when I set the LIMIT keyword to just look
- > at a small portion of the globe, this is not
- > the case. In fact, I only get a true cylidrical
- > projection if the min and max latitude have the
- > same magnitude, ie. -30 to 30 degrees.

Try this:

```
map_set, 30, -80, limit=[20,-100,40,-60], $
/cyl, /isot, /cont, /grid ; Bad result

map_set, 0, -80, limit=[20,-100,40,-60], $
/cyl, /isot, /cont, /grid ; Good result

Cheers,
```

Liam.

Liam E. Gumley
Space Science and Engineering Center, UW-Madison
1225 W. Dayton St., Madison WI 53706, USA
Phone (608) 265-5358, Fax (608) 262-5974
http://cimss.ssec.wisc.edu/~qumley

Subject: Re: Cylindrical map projection screwed up? Posted by davidf on Fri, 13 Nov 1998 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The state of the s

Jonathan Joseph (jj@scorpio.tn.cornell.edu) writes:

- > I've been doing some stuff using cylindrical map
- > projections using set_map. So, darn it, I expect
- > my lines of longitude to be vertical, and my lines
- > of latitude to be horizontal in the image.

>

- > But, when I set the LIMIT keyword to just look
- > at a small portion of the globe, this is not
- > the case. In fact, I only get a true cylidrical
- > projection if the min and max latitude have the
- > same magnitude, ie. -30 to 30 degrees.

>

> Is this a bug? or am I missing something obvious.

Are you missing the ISOTROPIC keyword?

Cheers,

David

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Phone: 970-221-0438, Toll-Free Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155 Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/