
Subject: Re: "clamping" an array to a maximum value?

Posted by [davidf](#) on Mon, 21 Dec 1998 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kevin Ivory (Kevin@Ivory.de) writes:

```
> Martin Schultz wrote:
>> ... but be careful to use parantheses when you want to clamp min and
>> max at the same time:
>>     twoodle_array = (twoodle_array < max_val ) > min_val
>
> In that case I don't use parantheses either, because I think the
> following "looks" nicer: ;-)
>
> twoodle_array = min_val > twoodle_array < max_val
```

I agree with Kevin. Having nice looking programs is as important as having programs that work correctly. :-)

The only problem with Kevin's approach is that I am usually clamping from some minimum value to some maximum-minus-one value. And this definitely does NOT do what you want:

```
twoodle_array = min_val > twoodle_array < max_val - 1
```

I've been bit so many times with this that I've given up all aesthetics and wrap those damn parentheses around anything that moves. :-(

Happy Holidays,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting

Phone: 970-221-0438 E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>

Toll-Free IDL Book Orders: 1-888-461-0155

Subject: Re: "clamping" an array to a maximum value?

Posted by [Kevin Ivory](#) on Mon, 21 Dec 1998 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Martin Schultz wrote:

```
> ... but be careful to use parantheses when you want to clamp min and
> max at the same time:
>     twoodle_array = (twoodle_array < max_val ) > min_val
```

In that case I don't use parantheses either, because I think the following "looks" nicer: ;-)

```
twoodle_array = min_val > twoodle_array < max_val
```

Have nice holidays,
Kevin

--

Kevin Ivory Tel: +49 5556 979 434
Max-Planck-Institut fuer Aeronomie Fax: +49 5556 979 240
Max-Planck-Str. 2 mailto:Kevin@Ivory.de
D-37191 Katlenburg-Lindau, GERMANY http://ivory.de/

Subject: Re: "clamping" an array to a maximum value?
Posted by [Martin Schultz](#) on Mon, 21 Dec 1998 08:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kevin Ivory wrote:

```
> dEdmundson@Bigfoot.com wrote:
>> I have a 2d array of floats. All elements having
>> a value greater than max_val I want to set equal
>> to max_val. My solution is a rather complicated-
>> looking use of the "where" function.
>
> That's easy:
> twod_array = twod_array < max_val
>
> Kevin
> --
> Kevin Ivory                      Tel: +49 5556 979 434
> Max-Planck-Institut fuer Aeronomie   Fax: +49 5556 979 240
> Max-Planck-Str. 2                      mailto:Kevin@Ivory.de
> D-37191 Katlenburg-Lindau, GERMANY   http://ivory.de/
```

... but be careful to use parantheses when you want to clamp min and max at the same time:

```
twoodle_array = (twoodle_array < max_val ) > min_val
```

Martin.

--

Dr. Martin Schultz
Department for Engineering&Applied Sciences, Harvard University
109 Pierce Hall, 29 Oxford St., Cambridge, MA-02138, USA

phone: (617)-496-8318
fax : (617)-495-4551

e-mail: mgs@io.harvard.edu
Internet-homepage: <http://www-as.harvard.edu/people/staff/mgs/>

Subject: Re: "clamping" an array to a maximum value?
Posted by [Kevin Ivory](#) on Mon, 21 Dec 1998 08:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

dEdmundson@Bigfoot.com wrote:
> I have a 2d array of floats. All elements having
> a value greater than max_val I want to set equal
> to max_val. My solution is a rather complicated-
> looking use of the "where" function.

That's easy:
twod_array = twod_array < max_val

Kevin

--

Kevin Ivory Tel: +49 5556 979 434
Max-Planck-Institut fuer Aeronomie Fax: +49 5556 979 240
Max-Planck-Str. 2 mailto:Kevin@Ivory.de
D-37191 Katlenburg-Lindau, GERMANY <http://ivory.de/>

Subject: Re: "clamping" an array to a maximum value?
Posted by [Mark Buckley](#) on Mon, 21 Dec 1998 08:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

dEdmundson@Bigfoot.com wrote in message <75l3vi\$nit\$1@nnrp1.dejanews.com>...
>
>
> It's late here in Oz and my brain refuses to find an
> easy solution to the following seemingly trivial
> problem:
>
> I have a 2d array of floats. All elements having
> a value greater than max_val I want to set equal
> to max_val. My solution is a rather complicated-
> looking use of the "where" function.

a = a < maxval

where a is the array, should do it...

cheers,

Mark

Subject: Re: "clamping" an array to a maximum value?
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Tue, 22 Dec 1998 08:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Martin Schultz <mgs@io.harvard.edu> writes:

> Yup,
>
> that's what I really intended to say/write. BTW: How about a "last
> element" operator? I very often need something like
> subdata = data[:,0:n_elements(data[0,:])-1]
> which is not very aesthetic ;-) is it? It would just be great if one
> could write something like
> subdata = data[:,0:(*)-1] or anything with a similar short syntax
> In this case the parantheses would serve to distinguish between "all
> elements" and "last element". That's probably a little dangerous. Anyone
> with a better idea?

Yes, yes, a billion times yes! A billion is about the number of times
I could have used this capability.

Some present-day scripting languages allow you to supply negative
subscripts, to indicate indexing from the end rather than the front of
the array. data[-1] would indicate the last element, data[-2] the
second to last, etc. Powerful, but maybe **too** powerful.

Craig

--

Craig B. Markwardt, Ph.D. EMAIL: craigmnet@astro.physics.wisc.edu
Astrophysics, IDL, Finance, Derivatives | Remove "net" for better response

Subject: Re: "clamping" an array to a maximum value?
Posted by [Martin Schultz](#) on Tue, 22 Dec 1998 08:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning wrote:

```
>
> Kevin Ivory (Kevin@Ivory.de) writes:
>
>> Martin Schultz wrote:
>>> ... but be careful to use parantheses when you want to clamp min and
>>> max at the same time:
>>> twoodle_array = (twoodle_array < max_val ) > min_val
>>
>> In that case I don't use parantheses either, because I think the
>> following "looks" nicer: ;-)
>>
>> twoodle_array = min_val > twoodle_array < max_val
>
> I agree with Kevin. Having nice looking programs is as important
> as having programs that work correctly. :-)
>
> The only problem with Kevin's approach is that I am usually
> clamping from some minimum value to some maximum-minus-one
> value. And this definitely does NOT do what you want:
>
> twoodle_array = min_val > twoodle_array < max_val - 1
>
> I've been bit so many times with this that I've given up
> all aesthetics and wrap those damn parentheses around anything
> that moves. :-(
>
> Happy Holidays,
>
> David
> --
```

Yup,

that's what I really intended to say/write. BTW: How about a "last element" operator? I very often need something like

```
subdata = data[:,0:n_elements(data[0,:])-1]
```

which is not very aesthetic ;-) is it? It would just be great if one could write something like

```
subdata = data[:,0:(*)-1]
```

or anything with a similar short syntax. In this case the parantheses would serve to distinguish between "all elements" and "last element". That's probably a little dangerous. Anyone with a better idea?

Happy holidays,
Martin.

--

Dr. Martin Schultz
Department for Engineering&Applied Sciences, Harvard University
109 Pierce Hall, 29 Oxford St., Cambridge, MA-02138, USA

phone: (617)-496-8318
fax : (617)-495-4551

e-mail: mgs@io.harvard.edu
Internet-homepage: <http://www-as.harvard.edu/people/staff/mgs/>

Subject: Re: "clamping" an array to a maximum value?
Posted by [Kevin Ivory](#) on Wed, 23 Dec 1998 08:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Martin Schultz wrote:

> BTW: How about a "last element" operator? I very often need something like
> subdata = data[:,0:n_elements(data[0,:])-1]

Just for completeness: that's probably supposed to be

subdata = data[:,0:n_elements(data[0,:])-2]

Otherwise your problem is trivial and you might as well write

subdata = data

Sorry, no better idea for the original problem, though. Everything
I can think of at the moment is even more complicated. :-(

(Or equivalent:

n_elements(data[0,:]) is the same as (size(data))[2]
which admittedly is not much clearer.)

Happy holidays,
Kevin

--

Kevin Ivory Tel: +49 5556 979 434
Max-Planck-Institut fuer Aeronomie Fax: +49 5556 979 240
Max-Planck-Str. 2 mailto:Kevin@Ivory.de
D-37191 Katlenburg-Lindau, GERMANY <http://ivory.de/>
