## Subject: Re: passing multiple keywords to subroutines Posted by Liam Gumley on Mon, 25 Jan 1999 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## David Ritscher wrote:

- > It's often desirable to redefine a function, and then have this new
- > function behave almost like the original function. To cook up an
- > example, let's say I need a new plot function, that plots the x-axis
- > as .001 units:

>

- > pro myplot, x
- > plot, findgen(n\_elements(x) \* 0.001), x
- > return
- > end

- It would now be great to be able to pass any and all possible keywords
- > into this new plotting function, so I might call it as:
- > myplot, x, title='test', linestyle=3

>

- > In IDL, this can be done by including all possible keywords (rather
- > tedious!)

It can be done this way, and it is tedious indeed.

Alternatively, you can exploit the keyword inheritance features offered by the \_EXTRA keyword, e.g.

```
pro myplot, x, extra = extra keywords
plot, findgen(n elements(x) * 0.001), x, extra = extra keywords
end
```

Now all keywords which go unrecognized by your routine MYPLOT are passed into (but not out of) the PLOT procedure, so that

```
myplot, x, title='test', linestyle=3
```

will work the way you intended. In the online help, click the Contents button, select 'Building IDL Applications', 'Defining Procedures and Programs', 'Keyword Inheritance'.

Cheers, Liam.

Liam E. Gumley Space Science and Engineering Center, UW-Madison 1225 W. Dayton St., Madison WI 53706, USA Phone (608) 265-5358, Fax (608) 262-5974

Subject: Re: passing multiple keywords to subroutines Posted by J.D. Smith on Mon, 25 Jan 1999 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
- .....
```

```
David Ritscher wrote:
>
> It's often desirable to redefine a function, and then have this new
> function behave almost like the original function. To cook up an
> example, let's say I need a new plot function, that plots the x-axis
> as .001 units:
> pro myplot, x
> plot, findgen(n_elements(x) * 0.001), x
> return
> end
>
> It would now be great to be able to pass any and all possible keywords
> into this new plotting function, so I might call it as:
> myplot, x, title='test', linestyle=3
>
> In IDL, this can be done by including all possible keywords (rather
> tedious!) In PV-Wave, it's almost impossible, since it is not
 possible to pass in an undefined keyword:
>
> (I have not defined the variable 'color' here:)
> WAVE> plot, [1,5], color=color
> % PLOT: Variable is undefined: COLOR.
> % Execution halted at $MAIN$ (PLOT).
>
> This same command works fine in IDL, and has the appropriate behavior
> (Here, with 'color' undefined, it behaves exactly the same as if the
> keyword was not specified).
> Thus in IDL it is possible to define my new function along these lines:
> pro myplot, x, color=color, linestyle=linestyle, ynozero=ynozero, $
   noclip=noclip, yrange=yrange,
>
> As I say, this becomes rather tedious.
>
> Does anyone see a simpler way to handle this sort of thing? It seems
> to me that that two things are needed:
> 1. both companies need to add a mechanism to handle keywords that will
    be passed on to subroutines, which might take on a form like the
    following:
```

> pro pass\_keywords=pass\_keywords myplot, x

```
> plot, x, pass_keywords=pass_keywords
>
    where I can now call 'myplot' with extra keywords:
>
  myplot, x, linestyle=3
>
  2. The bugs in PV-Wave have to be fixed, such that undefined keywords
    can be handled by every function.
>
>
  Am I missing a better way to handle these issues?
>
 David Ritscher
uhhhhh....
Take a look at IDL's _EXTRA and _REF_EXTRA keyword inheritance
mechanisms...
or am I missing something?
JD
J.D. Smith
                            |*|
                                 WORK: (607) 255-5842
Cornell University Dept. of Astronomy |*|
                                               (607) 255-6263
                                         FAX: (607) 255-5875
304 Space Sciences Bldg.
                                   |*|
                               |*|
Ithaca, NY 14853
```