

---

Subject: Specifying DOUBLE precision and using WHERE

Posted by [Johnny Lin](#) on Sat, 26 Jun 1999 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

hi folks,

i'm using WHERE to do a test for a missing value in an array of data. the funny thing is the result of WHERE seems to change depending on whether I use the function DOUBLE to set the type of the missing value field (or of the data i'm testing), or whether I set it using "d" as the exponent. is there a difference in specifying the type of a variable using "d" vs. DOUBLE? below is a sample of 4 different cases that illustrate what i'm describing.

thanks!

cheers,  
-Johnny Lin

-----  
UCLA Department of Atmospheric Sciences  
E-mail: [jlin@ucla.edu](mailto:jlin@ucla.edu)  
-----

```
print,!version
{ sparc sunos unix 5.1 Apr 13 1998}
```

CASE #1 (missing and data are both FLOAT):

```
missing=-9.96921e+36
data=randomn(-34,20)
data[where(data lt 0.1)]=missing
print,data
; -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36
0.882273
; 0.784302 0.505769 0.271391 -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36
-9.96921e+36
; -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36 0.280262 1.55990
-9.96921e+36
; 0.456618 -9.96921e+36
print,where(data eq missing)
; 0 1 2 3 4
9
; 10 11 12 13 14
17
; 19
```

```

CASE #2 (missing is DOUBLE using "d" exponent and data is FLOAT):
missing=-9.96921d+36
data=randomn(-34,20)
data[where(data lt 0.1)]=missing
print,data
; -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36
0.882273
; 0.784302 0.505769 0.271391 -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36
-9.96921e+36
; -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36 0.280262 1.55990
-9.96921e+36
; 0.456618 -9.96921e+36
print,where(data eq missing)
; -1

```

```

CASE #3 (missing is made DOUBLE using DOUBLE fctn. and data is FLOAT):
missing=-9.96921e+36
missing=double(missing)
data=randomn(-34,20)
data[where(data lt 0.1)]=missing
print,data
; -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36
0.882273
; 0.784302 0.505769 0.271391 -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36
-9.96921e+36
; -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36 -9.96921e+36 0.280262 1.55990
-9.96921e+36
; 0.456618 -9.96921e+36
print,where(data eq missing)
; 0 1 2 3 4
9
; 10 11 12 13 14
17
; 19

```

```

CASE #4 (missing is DOUBLE using "d" exponent and data is DOUBLE using
DOUBLE fctn.):
missing=-9.96921d+36
data=double(randomn(-34,20))
data[where(data lt 0.1)]=missing
print,data
; -9.9692100e+36 -9.9692100e+36 -9.9692100e+36 -9.9692100e+36
; -9.9692100e+36 0.88227326 0.78430188 0.50576895
; 0.27139148 -9.9692100e+36 -9.9692100e+36 -9.9692100e+36
; -9.9692100e+36 -9.9692100e+36 -9.9692100e+36 0.28026247
; 1.5598999 -9.9692100e+36 0.45661822 -9.9692100e+36
print,where(data eq missing)
; 0 1 2 3 4 9

```

; 10 11 12 13 14 17  
;  
; 19

---

---

Subject: Re: Specifying DOUBLE precision and using WHERE  
Posted by [Johnny Lin](#) on Mon, 28 Jun 1999 07:00:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Richard and Martin,

thanks for the help!

cheers,  
-Johnny

-----  
UCLA Department of Atmospheric Sciences  
E-mail: [jlin@ucla.edu](mailto:jlin@ucla.edu)  
-----

---

---

Subject: Re: Specifying DOUBLE precision and using WHERE  
Posted by [Martin Schultz](#) on Mon, 28 Jun 1999 07:00:00 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Since roundoff errors keep popping up in this newsgroup: Here's an old trick that I learned in the early 80's ;-)

instead of comparing (A eq B) use (ABS(A-B) lt SMALL)  
where SMALL is a small number relative to the number you are testing but within the numerical resolution. In your example

ind = where(ABS(data-missing) lt 1.e30

ms = -9.96921e+36

md = -9.96921d+36

print,ms eq md, abs(ms-md) lt 1.e30

0 1

some more details below ....

Martin

Johnny Lin wrote:

>  
> hi folks,  
>  
> i'm using WHERE to do a test for a missing value in an array of data.  
> the funny thing is the result of WHERE seems to change depending on  
> whether I use the function DOUBLE to set the type of the missing  
> value field (or of the data i'm testing), or whether I set it using  
> "d" as the exponent. is there a difference in specifying the type  
> of a variable using "d" vs. DOUBLE? below is a sample of 4 different  
> cases that illustrate what i'm describing.

>  
> thanks!

>  
> cheers,  
> -Johnny Lin

>  
> -----  
> UCLA Department of Atmospheric Sciences  
> E-mail: jlin@ucla.edu  
> -----

>  
> print,!version  
> { sparc sunos unix 5.1 Apr 13 1998}  
>  
> CASE #1 (missing and data are both FLOAT):  
ok

> CASE #2 (missing is DOUBLE using "d" exponent and data is FLOAT):  
> missing=-9.96921d+36  
> data=randomn(-34,20)  
> data[where(data lt 0.1)]=missing  
> print,where(data eq missing)  
> ; -1

here you have a roundoff error. Try  
print,missing,format='(e20.14)'  
print,data[1],format='(e20.14)'

>  
> CASE #3 (missing is made DOUBLE using DOUBLE fctn. and data is FLOAT):  
> missing=-9.96921e+36  
> missing=double(missing)  
> data=randomn(-34,20)  
> data[where(data lt 0.1)]=missing

Here you define missing as float, so it is internally the same number as the missing data values. The DOUBLE function will just add a few zeros to the mantissa, but the relevant digits remain the same. Thus, in effect you are still comparing float to float.

```
> CASE #4 (missing is DOUBLE using "d" exponent and data is DOUBLE using
> DOUBLE fctn.):
> missing=-9.96921d+36
> data=double(randomn(-34,20))
> data[where(data lt 0.1)]=missing
```

This one only works because you first convert your data and then set the values that you select to missing. That is you are comparing the same double representation of missing. Try

```
missing=-9.96921d+36
data=randomn(-34,20)
data[where(data lt 0.1)]=missing
data=double(data)
and you'll see it won't work.
```

Martin

```
|||||||\\-----/////////////////|||||||
Martin Schultz, DEAS, Harvard University, 29 Oxford St., Pierce 109,
Cambridge, MA 02138      phone (617) 496 8318  fax (617) 495 4551
e-mail mgs@io.harvard.edu  web http://www-as/people/staff/mgs/
```

---